arXiv:1401.2111v3 [math.GT] 24 Jul 2014

The Kakimizu complex of a surface

Jennifer Schultens

July 28, 2014

Abstract

The Kakimizu complex, named after Osamu Kakimizu, is usually defined in the context of knots. Several recent results describe the geometric structure of this complex. In particular, Johnson, Pelayo and Wilson showed that the Kakimizu complex of a knot is quasi-Euclidean (see [11]). Prior to this, Przytycki and the author extended the definition of the Kakimizu complex to the context of 3-manifolds and showed that, even in this broader context, the Kakimizu complex is contractible (see [10]).

The goal of this paper is to adapt the definition of the Kakimizu complex to the setting of 2-manifolds in order to illustrate the techniques used in its study. The resulting complex is closely related to the homology curve complexes defined by Hatcher (see [5]), Irmer (see [4]), Hatcher-Margalit (see [6]) and Bestvina-Bux-Margalit (see [2]). Their insights translate into a geometric picture of the Kakimizu complex of a 2-manifold and can be promoted to the setting of the Kakimizu complex of certain 3-manifolds via product constructions.

The Kakimizu complex, introduced by Osamu Kakimizu in 1992, encodes the Seifert surfaces of a given knot. Przytycki and the author generalized this notion to the context of 3-manifolds (see [10]). The goal of this paper is to adapt this idea into a lower dimensional context: 1-dimensional submanifolds of surfaces. The resulting Kakimizu complex of a surface is related to the homology curve complexes studied by Hatcher (see [5]) and Irmer (see [4]) and also a complex studied by Bestvina-Bux-Margalit (see [2]) and Hatcher-Margalit (see [6]).

Hatcher proved that the homology curve complex is contractible and computed its dimension. Irmer studied geodesics of the homology curve complex and exhibited quasi-flats. These insights translate into a geometric picture of the Kakimizu complex of a 2-manifold. Specifically, we prove similar, and in some cases analogous, results in the setting of the Kakimizu complex of a 2-manifold. We do so using the techniques used in the setting of the Kakimizu complex of a 3-manifold, most notably infinite cyclic covers associated with homology classes and a projection map on the set of vertices that can be defined on the Kakimizu complex via cut-and-paste in the infinite cyclic cover of the surface. As in the setting of 3-manifolds, the projection map, π_v, provides the key ingredient for establishing contractibility of the Kakimizu complex.

I wish to thank Misha Kapovich, Allen Hatcher, Dan Margalit and Piotr Przytycki for helpful conversations. This research was supported by a grant from the NSF. Much of the work was carried out at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn. I thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for its hospitality and the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics for logistical support.

1 The Kakimizu complex of a surface

The work here follows in the footsteps of [10]. Whereas the setting for [10] is surfaces in 3-manifolds, the setting here is 1-manifolds in 2-manifolds. Recall that an element of a finitely generated free abelian group G is *primitive* if it is an element of a basis for G. In the following we will always assume: 1) S is a compact (possibly closed) connected oriented 2-manifold; 2) α is a primitive element of $H_1(S, \partial S, \mathbf{Z})$.

Definition 1. *A* Seifert curve *for (S, α) is a pair (w, c), where c is a union, $c_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup c_n$, of pairwise disjoint oriented simple closed curves and arcs in S and w is an n-tuple of non-zero integers $(w^1, \ldots, w^n)$ such that the homology class $w^1[[c_1]] + \cdots + w^n[[c_n]]$ equals α. Moreover, we require that $S \backslash c$ is connected. We call c the underlying curve of (w, c). We will denote $w^1[[c_1]] + \cdots + w^n[[c_n]]$ by $w \circ c$.*



Figure 1: *A Seifert curve (weights are* 1*)*

Our definition of Seifert curve disallows null homologous subsets. Indeed, a null homologous subset would bound a component of $S \backslash c$ and would hence be separating. In fact, c contains no bounding subsets. Conversely, if $w \circ d = \alpha$ and d contains no bounding subsets, then $S \backslash d$ is connected. Note that a Seifert curve, by definition, possesses an orientation (on each component). We will assume that this orientation is chosen so that the components of w are positive.

Lemma 1. *If (w, c) represents α, then w is determined by the underlying curve c.*

Proof: Suppose that (w, c) and (w', c) represent α, where $w = (w^1, \cdots, w^n)$ and $w' = ((w')^1, \cdots, (w')^n)$. Then

$$w^1[[c_1]] + \cdots + w^n[[c_n]] = \alpha = (w')^1[[c_1]] + \cdots + (w')^n[[c_n]],$$

hence

$$(w^1 - (w')^1)[[c_1]] + \cdots (w^n - (w')^n)[[c_n]] = 0.$$

Since c has no null homologous subsets, this ensures that

$$w^1 - (w')^1 = 0, \ldots, w^n - (w')^n = 0.$$

Thus

$$w^1 = (w')^1, \cdots, w^n = (w')^n.$$

□

Since the underlying curve c of a Seifert curve (w, c) determines w, we will occasionally speak of a Seifert curve c, when w does not feature in our discussion.

Definition 2. *Given a Seifert curve (w, c) we denote the curve obtained by replacing, for all i, the curve c_i with w_i parallel components of c_i, by $h(w, c)$. This defines a function from Seifert curves to unweighted curves.*

Conversely, let $d = d_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup d_m$ be a disjoint union of (unweighted) pairwise disjoint simple closed curves and arcs such that parallel components are oriented to be parallel oriented curves and arcs. We denote the weighted curve obtained by replacing parallel components with one weighted component whose weight is equivalent to the number of these parallel components by $h^{-1}(d)$.

Definition 3. *For each pair (S, α), the isomorphism between $H_1(S, \partial S)$ and $H^1(S)$ identifies an element a^* of $H^1(S)$ corresponding to α that lifts to a homomorphism $h_a : \pi_1(S) \to \mathbf{Z}$. We denote the covering space corresponding to $N_\alpha = kernel(h_a)$ by $(p_\alpha, \hat{S}_\alpha, S)$, or simply $(p, \hat{S}, S)$, and call it the* infinite cyclic covering space associated with α.

We now describe the Kakimizu complex of (S, α). As *vertices* we take Seifert curves (w, c) of (S, α), considered up to isotopy of underlying curves. We write $[(w, c)]$. Consider a pair of vertices v, v' and representatives $(w, c), (w', c')$. Here $S \backslash c$ and $S \backslash c'$ are connected, hence path-connected. It follows that lifts of $S \backslash c$ and $S \backslash c'$ to the covering space associated with α are simply path components of $p^{-1}(S \backslash c)$ and $p^{-1}(S \backslash c')$. We obtain a graph $\Gamma(S, \alpha)$ by spanning an *edge* $e = (v, v')$ on the vertices v, v' if and only if the representatives $(w, c), (w', c')$ of v, v' can be chosen so that a lift of $S \backslash c$ to the covering space associated with α intersects exactly two lifts of $S \backslash c'$. (Note that in this case c and c' are necessarily disjoint.) See Figure 2.

Definition 4. *Let X be a simplicial complex. If, whenever the 1-skeleton of a simplex σ is in X, the simplex σ is also in X, then X is said to be* flag.

Definition 5. *The* Kakimizu complex of (S, α), *denoted by $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is the flag complex with $\Gamma(S, \alpha)$ as its 1-skeleton.*

Remark 6. *The Kakimizu complex is defined for a pair (S, α). For simplicity we use the expression "the Kakimizu complex of a surface" in general discussions, rather than the more cumbersome "the Kakimizu complex of a pair (S, α), where S is a surface and α is a primitive element of $H_1(S, \partial S, \mathbf{Z})$". Note that the Kakimizu complex of a surface is thus not unique.*



Figure 2: *Two Seifert curves corresponding to vertices of distance 1 (weights are 1)*

Figure 3 provides an example of a pair $(w, c), (w', c')$ of disjoint (disconnected) Seifert curves that do not span an edge. The arc from one side of c to the other side of c intersects c' twice with the same orientation and a lift of $S \backslash c$ will hence meet at least three distinct lifts of $S \backslash c'$. For a 3-dimensional analogue of Figure 3, see [1].

Definition 7. *Let (w, c) and (w', c') be Seifert curves. We say that (w, c) and (w', c') (or simply c and c') are* almost disjoint *if for all i, j the component c_i of c and the component c'_j of c' are either disjoint or coincide.*

Remark 8. *Let S be a compact orientable hyperbolic surface with geodesic boundary. Let σ be a simplex in $Kak(S, \alpha)$ of dimension n. Denote the vertices of σ by $v_0, \ldots, v_n$ and let $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ be geodesic representatives of the underlying curves of Seifert curves for $v_0, \ldots, v_n$ such that arc components of $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ are perpendicular to ∂S. It is a well known fact that closed geodesics that can be isotoped to be disjoint must be disjoint or coincide. The same is true for the geodesic arcs considered here, and combinations of closed geodesics and geodesic arcs, because their doubles are closed geodesics in the double of S. Hence, for all i, j, the component c_i of c and the component c'_j of c' are either disjoint or coincide.*

Example 1. *The Kakimizu complexes of the disk and sphere are empty. The annulus has a non-empty but trivial Kakimizu complex $Kak(A, \alpha)$ consisting of a single vertex. Specifically, let $A = annulus$, and α a generator of $H_1(A, \partial A, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. Then α is represented by a spanning arc with weight 1. The spanning arc is, up to isotopy, the only representative of its homology class. Thus $Kak(A, \alpha)$ consists of a single vertex.*

Similarly, the torus has non-empty but trivial Kakimizu complexes, each consisting of a single vertex. Specifically, let $T = torus$, and β a primitive element of $H_1(T, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Again, all representatives of β are isotopic. There are infinitely many choices for β, but in each case, $Kak(T, \beta)$ consists of a single vertex.

Definition 9. *Consider $Kak(S, \alpha)$. Let $(p, \hat{S}, S)$ be the infinite cyclic cover of S associated with α. Let τ be the generator of the group of covering transformations of $(p, \hat{S}, S)$ (which is $\mathbb{Z}$) corresponding to 1. Note that τ is canonical up to sign.*



Figure 3: *Two Seifert curves corresponding to vertices of distance strictly greater than 1 (weights are 1)*

Let $(w,c),(w',c')$ be Seifert curves in (S,α). Let S_0 denote a lift of $S\backslash c$ to $\hat{S}$, i.e., a path component of $p^{-1}(S\backslash c)$. Set $S_i=\tau^i(S_0)$, $c_i=closure(S_i)\cap closure(S_{i+1})$. We will assume, in what follows, that τ has been chosen so that S_i lies to the left of c_i. Let S_0' be a lift of $S\backslash c'$ to $\hat{S}$. Set $d_K(c,c)=0$ and for $c\neq c'$, set $d_K(c,c')$ equal to one less than the number of translates of S_0 met by S_0'. Let v,v' be vertices in $Kak(S,\alpha)$. Set $d_k(v,v)=0$ and for $v\neq v'$ set $d_K(v,v')$ equal to the minimum of $d_K(c,c')$ for $(w,c),(w',c')$ representatives of v,v'.

Remark 10. *Here $d_K(c,c')$ is finite: Indeed, $w\circ c=w'\circ c'=\alpha$ and so $[(w,c)]$, $[(w',c')]$ are in the kernel, N_α, of the cohomology class dual to α. Specifically, the cohomology class dual to α is represented by the weighted intersection pairing with (w,c) and also the weighted intersection pairing with (w',c'). Thus, let c^j be a component of c, then the value of the cohomology class dual to α evaluated at $[[c^j]]$ is given by the weighted intersection pairing of (w,c) with c^j which is 0. Likewise for other components of c and c'. Thus each component of c,c' lies in the kernel of this homomorphism and hence in N_α. Thus lifts of c,c' are homeomorphic to c,c', respectively, in particular, they are compact 1-manifolds. It follows that $d_K(c,c')$ is finite, whence for all vertices v,v' of $Kak(S,\alpha)$, $d_K(v,v')$ is also finite.*

It is not hard to verify, but important to note, the following theorem (see [7, Proposition 1.4]):

Proposition 1. *The function d_K is a metric on the vertex set of $Kak(S,\alpha)$.*

Definition 11. *Consider the setting of Definition 9. Let C,D be disjoint separating subsets of $\hat{S}$. We say that D lies* above *C if D lies in the component of $\hat{S}\backslash C$ containing $\tau(C)$. We say that D lies* below *C if D lies in the component of $\hat{S}\backslash C$ containing $\tau^{-1}(C)$.*

2 Relation to homology curve complexes

In [5], Hatcher introduces the *cycle complex* of a surface:

"By a *cycle* in a closed oriented surface S we mean a nonempty collection of finitely many disjoint oriented smooth simple closed curves. A cycle c is *reduced* if no subcycle of c is the oriented boundary of one of the complementary regions of c in S (using either orientation of the region). In particular, a reduced cycle contains no curves that bound disks in S, and no pairs of circles that are parallel but oppositely oriented.

Define the *cycle complex* $C(S)$ to be the simplicial complex having as its vertices the isotopy classes of reduced cycles in S, where a set of $k+1$ distinct vertices spans a k-simplex if these vertices are represented by disjoint cycles $c_0, \ldots, c_k$ that cut S into $k+1$ cobordisms $C_0, \ldots, C_k$ such that the oriented boundary of C_i is $c_{i+1} - c_i$, subscripts being taken modulo $k+1$, where the orientation of C_i is induced from the given orientation of S and $-c_i$ denotes c_i with the opposite orientation. The cobordisms C_i need not be connected. The faces of a k-simplex are obtained by deleting a cycle and combining the two adjacent cobordisms into a single cobordism. One can think of a k-simplex of $C(S)$ as a cycle of cycles. The ordering of the cycles $c_0, \ldots, c_k$ in a k-simplex is determined up to cyclic permutation. Cycles that span a simplex represent the same element of $H_1(S)$ since they are cobordant. Thus we have a well-defined map $\pi_0 : C(S) \to H_1(S)$. This has image the nonzero elements of $H_1(S)$ since on the one hand, every cycle representing a nonzero homology class contains a reduced subcycle representing the same class (subcycles of the type excluded by the definition of reduced can be discarded one by one until a reduced subcycle remains), and on the other hand, it is an elementary fact, left as an exercise, that a cycle that represents zero in $H_1(S)$ is not reduced. For a nonzero class $x \in H_1(S)$ let $C_x(S)$ be the subcomplex of $C(S)$ spanned by vertices representing x, so $C_x(S)$ is a union of components of $C(S)$." See [5, Page 1].

Lemma 2. *When both are defined, i.e., when S is closed, connected, of genus at least 2, and α is primitive, $Vert(Kak(S,\alpha))$ is isomorphic to a proper subset of $Vert(C_\alpha(S))$.*

Proof: Let v be a vertex of $Kak(S,\alpha)$ choose a representative (w,c), then $h(w,c)$ is a disjoint collection of (unweighted) curves and arcs. The requirement on the Seifert curve (w,c), that $S \backslash c$ be connected implies that the multi-curve $h(w,c)$ is reduced and thus represents a vertex of $C_\alpha(S)$. Abusing notation slightly, we denote the map from $Vert(Kak(S,\alpha))$ to $Vert(C_\alpha)$ thus obtained by h. There is an inverse, h^{-1}, defined on the image of h, hence h is injective.

It is not hard to identify reduced multi-curves that contain bounding subsets that are not the oriented boundary of a subsurface. Hence $Vert(Kak(S,\alpha))$ is a proper subset of $Vert(C_\alpha(S))$. □

Lemma 3. *Let σ be a n-simplex in $Kak(S,\alpha)$ and denote the vertices of σ by $v_0, \ldots, v_n$. Then there are representatives $(w_0,c_0), \ldots, (w_n,c_n)$ of $v_0, \ldots, v_n$ such that the following hold:*

1. $c_i \cap c_j = \emptyset \ \forall i \neq j$;

2. $S \backslash (c_0 \cup \cdots \cup c_n)$ *is partitioned into subsurfaces* $P_0, \ldots, P_n$ *such that* $\partial P_i = c_i - c_{i-1}$.

Proof: Let $(p, \hat{S}, S)$ be the covering space associated with α and let σ be a simplex in $Kak(S, \alpha)$. Denote the vertices of σ by $v_0, \ldots, v_n$ and let $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ be geodesic representatives of the underlying curves of $v_0, \ldots, v_n$ such that arc components of $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ are perpendicular to ∂S. By Remark 8, c_i and c_j are almost disjoint $\forall i, j, i \neq j$. Consider a lift S_0 of $S \backslash c_0$ to $\hat{S}$. For each $j \neq 0$, c_j lifts to a separating collection $\hat{c}_j$ of simple closed curves and simple arcs. Moreover, since S_0 is homeomorphic to $S \backslash c_0$, the lifts $\hat{c}_i, \hat{c}_j$ are almost disjoint as long as $i \neq j$. By reindexing $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ if necessary and performing small isotopies that pull apart equal components, we can thus ensure that $\hat{c}_i$ lies above $\hat{c}_j$ for $i > j$.

Note that the lift of $S \backslash c_0$ is homeomorphic to $S \backslash c_0$. In particular, $c_i \cap c_j = \emptyset \ \forall i \neq j$. Moreover, the surface with interior below $\hat{c}_i$ and above $\hat{c}_{i-1}$ projects to a surface P_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The surfaces $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ exhibit the required properties. □

Remark 12. *When* $w_0, \ldots, w_n = 1$*, Lemma 2 ensures that* $c_0 = h(w_0, c_0) = h(1, c_0)$, $\ldots$, $c_n = h(w_n, c_n) = h(1, c_n)$ *form a cycle of cycles. In this case* h *extends over the simplex* σ *to produce a simplex* $h(\sigma)$ *in* C_α*. However,* h *does not extend over simplices in which weights are not all* 1*. See Figure 4.*



Figure 4: *An edge of* $Kak(S, \alpha)$ *that does not map into* C_α

Hatcher proves that for each $x \in H_1(S)$, $C_x(S)$ is contractible. (In particular, it is therefore connected and hence constitutes just one component of $C(S)$.) In Section 4 we prove an analogous result for $Kak(S, \alpha)$, using a technique from the 3-dimensional setting.

The cyclic cycle complex and the Kakimizu complex are simplicial complexes. The complex defined by Bestvina-Bux-Margalit (see [2]) is not simplicial, but can be subdivided to obtain a simplicial complex. See the final comments in [6, Section 2]. There is a subcomplex of the cyclic cycle complex that equals this subdivision of the complex defined by Bestvina-Bux-Margalit. Bestvina-Bux-Margalit and Hatcher-Margalit employ this complex to gain insights into the Torelli group.

In [4], Irmer defines the homology curve complex of a surface:

"Suppose S is a closed oriented surface. S is not required to be connected but every component is assumed to have genus $g \geq 2$.

Let α be a nontrivial element of $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$. The *homology curve complex*, $\mathcal{HC}(S, \alpha)$, is a simplicial complex whose vertex set is the set of all homotopy classes of oriented multi-curves in S in the homology class α. A set of vertices $m_1, \ldots, m_k$ spans a simplex if there is a set of pairwise disjoint representatives of the homotopy classes.

The *distance*, $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1, v_2)$, between two vertices v_1 and v_2 is defined to be the distance in the path metric of the one-skeleton, where all edges have length one." (See [4, Page 1].)

It is not hard to see the following (*cf,* Remark 8 and Figure 3):

Lemma 4. *When both are defined, i.e., when S is closed, connected, of genus at least 2 and α is primitive, $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{HC}(S, \alpha)$. Moreover, for vertices v, v' of $Kak(S, \alpha)$,*

$$d_K(v, v') \geq d_{\mathcal{H}}(v, v')$$

Irmer shows that distance between vertices of $\mathcal{HC}(S, \alpha)$ is bounded above by a linear function of the intersection number of representatives. The same is true for vertices of the Kakimizu complex. Irmer also constructs quasi-flats in $\mathcal{HC}(S, \alpha)$. Her construction carries over to the setting of the Kakimizu complex. See Section 6.

3 The projection map, distances and geodesics

In [7], Kakimizu defined a map on the vertices of the Kakimizu complex of a knot. He used this map to prove several things, for instance that the metric, d_K, on the vertices of the Kakimizu complex equals graph distance. (Quoted and reproved here as Theorem 2.) In [10], Kakimizu's map was rebranded as a projection map.

We wish to define

$$\pi_{Vert(Kak(S,\alpha))} : Vert(Kak(S, \alpha)) \to Vert(Kak(S, \alpha))$$

on the vertex set of $Kak(S, \alpha)$. Let $(p, \hat{S}, S)$ be the infinite cyclic covering space associated with α. Let v, v' be vertices in $Kak(S, \alpha)$ such that $v \neq v'$. Here $v = [(w, c)]$ for some compact oriented 1-manifold c and $v' = [(w', c')]$ for some compact oriented 1-manifold c'. We may assume, in accordance with Remark 8, that (w, c) and (w', c') are chosen so that $d_K(c, c') = d_K(v, v')$. Define τ, S_i, S_i', c_i and, by analogy, c_i', as in Definition 9. Note that S_i' also lies on the left of c_i'.

Instead of working only with c_0', we will now also work with $h(w', c_0')$. Take $m = max\{i \mid S_{i+1} \cap S_0' \neq \emptyset\}$. Consider a connected component C of $S_{m+1} \cap S_0'$. Its frontier consists of a subset of c_0' and a subset of c_m. The subset of c_0' lies above the subset of c_m. In particular, C lies to the right of c_m and to the left of c_0', hence the orientations of the subset of c_0' are opposite those of the subset of c_m. See Figure 5. Because the subset of c_0' and the subset of c_m cobound C, they are homologous. It

follows that the corresponding leftmost subset of $h(w', c_0')$ is also homologous to the subset of c_m.

Replacing the leftmost subset of $h(w', c_0')$ with the subset of c_m and isotoping this portion of c_m to lie below c_m yields a multi-curve d_1 with the following properties:

- d_1 is homologous to $h(w', c_0')$ via a homology that descends to a homology in S (because C is homeomorphic to a subset of S);
- d_1 has lower geometric intersection number with c_m than $h(w', c_0')$;
- d_1 can be isotoped to lie below and thus be disjoint from $h(w', c_0')$, moreover its projection can be isotoped to be disjoint from $h(w', c')$.
- For $(x_1, e_1) = h^{-1}(d_1)$, we have $x_1 \circ e_1$ equal to $w' \circ c_0'$ via a homology that descends to a homology in S between $p(x_1) \circ e_1$ and $w' \circ c_0'$.

See Figures 5, 6.



Figure 5: *The setup with c_m, c_0' (weights are 1)*

Working with $h^{-1}(d_1), d_1$ instead of $c_0', h(w', c_0')$ we perform such replacements in succession to obtain a sequence of multi-curves $d_1, \ldots, d_k$ such that the following hold:

- d_j is homologous to d_{j-1} via a homology that descends to a homology in S;
- d_j has lower geometric intersection number with c_m than d_{j-1};



Figure 6: d_1

- d_j can be isotoped to lie below $h(w', c'_0)$ and above $d_1, \ldots, d_{j-1}$, moreover its projection can be isotoped to be disjoint from $h(w', c')$.
- For $(x_j, e_j) = h^{-1}(d_j)$, we have $x_j \circ e_j$ equal to $w' \circ c'_0$ via a homology that descends to S.
- d_k is disjoint from c_m.

See Figures 7, 8 and 9. This proves the following:

Lemma 5. *The homology class* $[[p(d_k)]] = p(e_k) \circ x_k = \alpha$.

We make two observations: 1) A result of Oertel, see [9], shows that the isotopy class of $p(e_k)$ does not depend on the choices made; 2) It is important to realize that $(x_k, p(e_k))$ may not be a Seifert curve, because $S \backslash p(e_k)$ is not necessarily connected.

If $S \backslash p(h^{-1}(e_k))$ is connected, set $p_c(c') = (x_k, p(e_k))$. Otherwise, choose a component D of $S \backslash p(e_k)$. If the frontier of D is null homologous, then remove the frontier of D from $p(e_k)$. See Figures 10, 11, 12.

If the frontier of D is not null homologous (because the orientations do not match up) choose an arc a in its frontier with smallest weight. Denote the weight of a by w^a. We eliminate the component a of $p(e_k)$ by adding $\pm w^a$ to the weights of the other components of $p(e_k)$ in the frontier of D in such a way that the resulting weighted multi-curve still has homology α.

After a finite number of such eliminations, we obtain a weighted multi-curve $p_c(c')$ that is a subset of $p(e_k)$, has homology α, and whose complement in S is connected.



Figure 7: *A different pair of weighted multi-curves*

Lemma 6. *The homology class* $[[p_c(c')]] = \alpha$.

Definition 13. *We denote the isotopy class* $[p_c(c')]$ *by* $\pi_v(v')$.

Lemma 7. *For* $v \neq v'$, *the following hold:*

$$d_K(\pi_v(v'), v') = 1$$

and

$$d_K(\pi_v(v'), v) \leq d_K(v', v) - 1.$$

It will follow from Theorem 2 below that the inequality is in fact an equality.

Proof: By construction, e_k lies strictly between c_0' and c_{-1}'. So $\tau(e_k)$ lies strictly between c_1' and c_0'. Thus the lift of $S \backslash p_c(c')$ with frontier in $e_k \cup \tau(e_k)$ meets S_0' and S_1' and is disjoint from S_i' for $i \neq 0, 1$. It follows that the lift of $S \backslash p_c(c')$ with frontier contained in $e_k \cup \tau(e_k)$ also meets S_0' and S_1' and is disjoint from S_i' for $i \neq 0, 1$. Hence

$$d_K(\pi_v(v'), v') = 1.$$

In addition, suppose that $c_0' \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i \in \{n, \ldots, m+1\}$. Then $c_1' \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i \in \{n+1, \ldots, m+2\}$. Hence the lift of $S \backslash c'$ that lies strictly between c_0' and c_1' meets exactly $S_n, \ldots, S_{m+2}$.



Figure 8: $h^{-1}(d_1)$

By construction, $e_k \cap S_i$ can be non empty only if $i \in \{n, \ldots, m\}$ and thus $\tau(e_k) \cap S_i$ can be non empty only if $i \in \{n+1, \ldots, m+1\}$. Hence the lift of $S \backslash p_c(c')$ with frontier in $e_k \cup \tau(e_k)$ can meet S_i only if $i \in \{n, \ldots, m+1\}$. It follows that the lift of $S \backslash p_c(c')$ with frontier contained in $e_k \cup \tau(e_k)$ can meet S_i only if $i \in \{n, \ldots, m+1\}$. Whence

$$d_K(\pi_v(v'), v) \leq m + 1 - n - 1 = d_K(v', v) - 1.$$

□

Definition 14. *The* graph distance *on a complex $\mathcal{C}$ is a function that assigns to each pair of vertices v, v' the least possible number of edges in an edge path in $\mathcal{C}$ from v to v'.*

Theorem 2. *(Kakimizu) The function d_K equals graph distance.*

Proof: Denote the graph distance between v' and v by $d(v', v)$. If $d_K(v', v) = 1$, then $d(v', v) = 1$ and vice versa by definition. So suppose $d_K(v', v) = m > 1$ and consider the path with vertices

$$v', \pi_v(v'), \pi_v^2(v'), \ldots, \pi_v^{m-1}(v'), \pi_v^m(v') = v.$$

By Remark 7, $d_K(\pi_v(v'), v') = 1$ and $d_K(\pi_v^i(v'), \pi_v^{i-1}(v')) = 1$. Thus the existence of this path guarantees that $d(v', v) \leq m$. Hence $d(v', v) \leq d_K(v', v)$. Let $v' =$



Figure 9: $h^{-1}(d_2)$

$v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n = v$ be the vertices of a path realizing $d(v', v)$. By the triangle inequality and the fact that $d(v_{i-1}, v_i) = 1 = d_K(v_{i-1}, v_i)$:

$$d_K(v', v) \leq d_K(v_0, v_1) + \cdots + d_K(v_{n-1}, v_n) = 1 + \cdots + 1 =$$
$$d(v_0, v_1) + \cdots + d(v_{n-1}, v_n) = d(v', v)$$

□

The following theorem is a reinterpretation of a theorem of Scharlemann and Thompson, see [12]:

Theorem 3. *The Kakimizu complex is connected.*

Proof: Let v, v' be vertices in $Kak(S, \alpha)$. By Remark 10, $d_K(v, v')$ is finite. By Theorem 2, $d(v, v')$ is finite. In particular, there is a path between v and v'. □

Definition 15. *A* geodesic *between vertices v, v' in a Kakimizu complex is an edge-path that realizes $d(v, v')$.*

Theorem 4. *The path with vertices $v', \pi_v(v'), \pi_v^2(v'), \ldots, \pi_v(v')^{m-1}, \pi_v^m(v') = v$ is a geodesic.*

Proof: This follows from Theorem 2 because the path

$$v', \pi_v(v'), \pi_v^2(v'), \ldots, \pi_v(v')^{m-1}, \pi_v^m(v') = v$$

realizes $d(v', v)$. □



Figure 10: *The setup with c_m, c'_0 (weights are 1)*

Remark 16. *Theorem 4 tells us that geodesics in the Kakimizu complex joining two given vertices are thus, at least theoretically, constructible. It follows that distances between two given vertices are also, theoretically, computable, as the length of the geodesic constucted.*

Note that, typically, $\pi_v(v') \neq \pi_{v'}(v)$. See Figure 13 for a step in the construction of $\pi_{v'}(v)$.

4 Contractibility

The proof of contractibility presented here is a streamlined version of the proof given in the 3-dimensional case in [10]. Those familiar with Hatcher's work in [5], will note certain similarities with his first proof of contractibility of $C_\alpha(S)$ in the case that α is primitive.

Lemma 8. *Suppose that v, v^1, v^2 are vertices in $Kak(S, \alpha)$. Then there are representatives c, c^1, c^2 with $v = [(w, c)]$, $v^1 = [(w^1, c^1)]$, and $v^2 = [(w^2, c^2)]$ that realize $d_K(v, v^1), d_K(v, v^2)$, and $d_K(v^1, v^2)$.*

Proof: Let c, c^1, c^2 be geodesic representatives of the underlying curves of representatives of v, v^1, v^2 such that arc components of c, c^1, c^2 are perpendicular to ∂S. Lifts of c, c^1, and c^2 to $(p, \hat{S}, S)$, the infinite cyclic covering of S associated with α, are



Figure 11: d_k

also geodesics. Points of intersection lift to points of intersection. Geodesics that intersect can't be isotoped to be disjoint. Hence c, c^1, c^2, with appropriate weights, realize $d_K(v, v^1)$, $d_K(v, v^2)$, and $d_K(v^1, v^2)$. □

Lemma 9. *Suppose that v, v^1, v^2 are vertices in $Kak(S, \alpha)$ and $d_K(v^1, v^2) = 1$. Then $d_K(\pi_v(v^1), \pi_v(v^2)) \leq 1$.*

Proof: By Lemma 8, there are representatives $(w, c), (w^1, c^1)$, and (w^2, c^2) of v, v^1 and v^2 that realize $d_K(v, v^1), d_K(v, v^2)$, and $d_K(v^1, v^2)$. Let $(p, \hat{S}, S)$ be the infinite cyclic cover of S associated with α. Define $\tau, S_i, S_i^1, S_i^2, c_i, c_i^1, c_i^2$ as in Definition 9 but this time label S_i^1, S_i^2 so that S_0^1, S_0^2 meet S_1 and meet S_j only if $j \leq 1$.

Since $d_K(c^1, c^2) = d_K(v^1, v^2)$, c^1 and c^2 must be disjoint. Since c_0^1 is separating, c_0^2 lies either above or below c_0^1. Without loss of generality, we will assume that c_0^2 lies above c_0^1 (and below $\tau(c_0^1)$). See Figures 14 and 15. Proceeding as in the discussion preceding Lemma 6, construct e_k^1 whose projection contains $p_c(c^1)$ and then e_l^2 whose projection contains $p_c(c^2)$, noting that this construction can be undertaken so that e_l^2 lies above e_k^1 (and below $\tau(e_k^1)$).

Consider the lift of $S \backslash p_c(c^2)$ with frontier in $e_l^2 \cup \tau(e_l^2)$. This lift of $S \backslash p_c(c^2)$ meets at most the two lifts of $S \backslash p_c(c^1)$ whose frontiers lie in $e_k^1 \cup \tau(e_k^1)$ and $\tau(e_k^1) \cup \tau^2(e_k^1)$. Whence

$$d_K(\pi_v(v^1), \pi_v(v^2)) \leq 1.$$

□

Lemma 10. *If $d_K(v^1, v^2) = m$, then $d_K(\pi_v(v^1), \pi_v(v^2)) \leq m$.*

Proof: Let $v^1 = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}, v_m = v^2$ be the vertices of a path from v^1 to v^2 that realizes $d_K(v^1, v^2)$. By Lemma 9, $d_K(\pi_v(v_i), \pi_v(v_{i+1})) \leq d_K(v_i, v_{i+1}) = 1$ for



Figure 12: *A subset of* d_k

$i = 0, \ldots, m-1$. Hence

$$d_K(\pi_v(v^1), \pi_v(v^2)) \leq d_K(\pi_v(v_0), \pi_v(v_1)) + \cdots + d_K(\pi_v(v_{m-1}), \pi_v(v_m)) \leq$$

$$d_K(v_0, v_1) + \cdots + d_K(v_{m-1}, v_m) \leq m.$$

□

Theorem 5. *The Kakimizu complex of a surface is contractible.*

Proof: Let $Kak(S, \alpha)$ be a Kakimizu complex of a surface. By a theorem of Whitehead, it suffices to show that every finite subcomplex of $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is contained in a contractible subcomplex of $Kak(S, \alpha)$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a finite subcomplex of $Kak(S, \alpha)$. Choose a vertex v in $\mathcal{C}$ and denote by $\mathcal{C}'$ the smallest flag complex containing every geodesic of the form given in Theorem 4 for v' a vertex in $\mathcal{C}$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is finite, it follows that $\mathcal{C}'$ is finite.

Define $c : Vert(\mathcal{C}') \to Vert(\mathcal{C}')$ on vertices by $c(v') = \pi_v(v')$. By Lemma 9, this map extends to edges. Since $\mathcal{C}'$ is flag, the map extends to simplices and thus to all of $\mathcal{C}'$. By Lemma 10 this map is continuous. It is not hard to see that c is homotopic to the identity map. In particular, c is a contraction map. (Specifically, c^d, where d is the diameter of $\mathcal{C}'$, has the set $\{v\}$ as its image.) □



Figure 13: $u(c'_{-m+1}, c_0)$

5 Dimension

In [5], Hatcher proves that the dimension of $C_\alpha(S)$ is $2g(S) - 3$, where $g(S)$ is the genus of the closed oriented surface S. An analogous argument derives the same result in the context of $Kak(S, \alpha)$.

Lemma 11. *Let S be a closed connected orientable surface with $genus(S) \geq 2$ and α a primitive class in $H_1(S, \partial S)$. The dimension of $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is $-\chi(S) - 1 = 2genus(S) - 3$.*

Proof: It is not hard to build a simplex of $Kak(S, \alpha)$ of dimension $2genus(S) - 3$. See for example Figure 16, where $0, 1, 2$, and 3 are multi-curves (each of weight 1) representing the vertices of a simplex. Thus the dimension of $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is greater than or equal to $2genus(S) - 3$.

Conversely, let σ be a simplex of maximal dimension in $Kak(S, \alpha)$. Label the vertices of σ by $v_0, \ldots, v_n$ and let $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ be geodesic representatives of the underlying curves of representatives of $v_0, \ldots, v_n$. By Lemma 3, $S \backslash (c_0 \cup \cdots \cup c_n)$ consists of subsurfaces $P_0, \ldots, P_n$ with frontiers $c_0 - c_n, c_1 - c_0, \ldots, c_n - c_{n-1}$. Since c_i and c_{i-1} are not isotopic, no P_i can consist of annuli. In addition, no P_i can be a sphere, hence each must have negative Euler characteristic. Thus the number of P_i's is at most $-\chi(S)$. *I.e.,*

$$n \leq -\chi(S) = 2genus(S) - 2.$$



Figure 14: c_0^1 *and* c_0^2

In other words, the dimension of σ and hence the dimension of $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is less than or equal to $2genus(S) - 3$. □

We can extend this argument to compact surfaces, by introducing the following notion of complexity:

Definition 17. *Let S be a compact surface and let P be an open subset of S whose boundary consists of open subarcs of ∂S and, possibly, components of ∂S. Define*

$$c(P, S) = -2\chi(P) + number\ of\ open\ subarcs\ in\ \partial P$$

The following lemma is immediate:

Lemma 12. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a union of simple closed curves and simple arcs in S. Then*

$$c(S, S) = c(S \backslash \mathcal{C}, S)$$

Theorem 6. *Let S be a compact connected orientable surface with $\chi(S) \leq -1$ and α a primitive class in $H_1(S, \partial S)$. The dimension of $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is $-2\chi(S) - 1 = 4genus(S) + 2b - 5$, where b is the number of boundary components of S.*

Proof: To build a simplex of $Kak(S, \alpha)$ of dimension $4genus(S) + 2b - 5$, see for example Figure 17, where $0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ and 5 are multi-curves (each of weight 1) representing the vertices of a simplex. Thus the dimension of $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is greater than or equal to $4g(S) + 2b - 5$.



Figure 15: d_k^1 *and* d_l^2

Conversely, let σ be a simplex of maximal dimension in $Kak(S, \alpha)$. Label the vertices of σ by $v_0, \ldots, v_n$ and let $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ be geodesic representatives of the underlying curves of representatives of $v_0, \ldots, v_n$ such that arc components of $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ are perpendicular to ∂S. By Lemma 3, $S \backslash (c_0 \cup \cdots \cup c_n)$ consists of subsurfaces $P_0, \ldots, P_n$ with frontiers containing $c_0 - c_n, c_1 - c_0, \ldots, c_n - c_{n-1}$. Since c_i and c_{i-1} are not isotopic, P_i can't consist of annuli or disks with exactly two open subarcs of ∂S in their boundary. In addition, no P_i can be a sphere or a disk with exactly one open subarc of ∂S in its boundary, hence each must have positive complexity. Thus the number of P_i's is at most $c(S, S \backslash (c_0 \cup \cdots \cup c_n))$. *I.e.,*

$$n \leq c(S, S \backslash (c_0 \cup \cdots \cup c_n)) = c(S, S) = -2\chi(S).$$

In other words, the dimension of σ and hence the dimension of $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is less than or equal to $-2\chi(S) - 1 = 4genus(S) + 2b - 5$. □

6 quasi-flats

In this section we explore an idea of Irmer. See [4, Section 7].

Consider Figure 18. Denote the surface depicted by S and the homology class of c by α. The curves t_1 and t_2 are homologous as are t_3 and t_4. Denote by v the vertex $(1, c)$ of $Kak(S, \alpha)$, by w_1 the vertex corresponding to the result, d_1, obtained from c by Dehn twisting n times around t_1 and $-n$ times around t_2, and by w_2 the vertex



Figure 16: *A simplex in a genus* 3 *surface*

corresponding to the result, d_2, obtained from c by Dehn twisting n times around t_3 and $-n$ times around t_4. Then d_1, d_2 are homologous to c, so we obtain three vertices v, w_1, w_2 in $Kak(S, \alpha)$ (all weights are 1). Note the following:

$$d(v, w_i) = d_K(v, w_i) = n$$
$$d(w_1, w_2) = d_K(w_1, w_2) = n$$

For $i = 1, 2$, we consider the geodesics g_i with vertices $w_i, \pi_v(w_i), \ldots, \pi_v^n(w_i) = v$. In addition, consider the geodesic g_3 with vertices $w_2, \pi_{w_1}(w_2), \ldots, \pi_{w_1}^n(w_2) = w_1$ and note that $\pi_{w_1}^i(w_2)$ is represented by a curve obtained from c by Dehn twisting i times around t_1, $-i$ times around t_2, $n - i$ times around t_3 and $-(n - i)$ times around t_4.

Definition 18. *Let (X, d) be a metric space. A* triangle *is a 6-tuple $(v_1, v_2, v_3, g_1, g_2, g_3)$, where v_1, v_2, v_3 are vertices and the edges g_1, g_2, g_3 satisfy the following: g_1 is a distance minimizing path between v_1 and v_2, g_2 is a distance minimizing path between v_2 and v_3, g_3 is a distance minimizing path between v_3 and v_1.*

A triangle $(v_1, v_2, v_3, g_1, g_2, g_3)$ is δ-thin *if each e_i lies in a δ-neighborhood of the other two edges. A metric space (X, d) is* δ-hyperbolic *if every triangle in (X, d) is δ-thin. It is* hyperbolic *if there is a $\delta > 0$ such that (X, d) is δ-hyperbolic.*

For n even, the midpoint, m_1, of the geodesic g_1 is the vertex corresponding to the result, d_1', obtained from c by Dehn twisting $\frac{n}{2}$ times around t_1 and $-\frac{n}{2}$ times around t_2. Likewise, the midpoint, m_2, of the geodesic g_2 is the vertex corresponding to the result, d_2', obtained from c by Dehn twisting $\frac{n}{2}$ times around t_3 and $-\frac{n}{2}$ times around t_4. The midpoint, m_3, of g_3 is represented by a curve obtained from c by Dehn twisting $\frac{n}{2}$ times around t_1 and around t_3 and $-\frac{n}{2}$ times around t_2 and t_4.

Lemma 13. *Let S be a compact oriented surface with genus* 4*. Then $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is not hyperbolic.*

Proof: The triangle $(v, w_1, w_2, g_1, g_2, g_3)$ described depends on n, so we will denote it by T_n. In T_n we have the following:



Figure 17: *A simplex in a punctured genus* 2 *surface*

$$d(v, m_3) = d_K(v, m_3) = n$$
$$d(w_1, m_2) = d_K(w_1, m_2) = n$$
$$d(w_2, m_1) = d_K(w_2, m_1) = n$$

In particular, g_3 is contained in a δ-neighborhood of the two geodesics g_1, g_2 only if n is less than δ. Thus the triangle T_n in $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is not δ-thin for $n \geq \delta$. It follows that $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is not hyperbolic. □

Definition 19. *Let (X, d) be a metric space. A* quasi-flat *in (X, d) is a quasi-isometry from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to (X, d), for $n \geq 2$.*

Note the following:

$$d(m_1, m_2) = d_K(m_1, m_2) = \frac{n}{2}$$
$$d(m_1, m_3) = d_K(m_1, m_3) = \frac{n}{2}$$
$$d(m_2, m_3) = d_K(m_2, m_3) = \frac{n}{2}$$

Thus the triangle T_n scales like a Euclidean triangle. It is not too hard to see that a triangle with this property can be used to construct a quasi-isometry between $\mathbb{R}^2$



Figure 18: *Building a quasi-flat by Dehn twists*

and an infinite union of such triangles lying in $Kak(S, \alpha)$. Thus $Kak(S, \alpha)$ contains quasi-flats. It is also not hard to adapt this construction to show that, for S an oriented surface, $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is not hyperbolic and contains quasi-flats if the genus of S is greater than or equal to 4, or the genus of S is greater than or equal to 2 and $\chi(S) \leq -6$.

7 A computation

We consider the example of a closed orientable surface S of genus 2. A non trivial primitive homology class α can always be represented by a non separating simple closed curve with weight 1. Moreover, a Seifert curve in a closed orientable surface of genus 2, since its underlying curve is non separating, can have at most two components. Figure 19 depicts multi-curves c and $d_1 \cup d_2$ such that $[[c]] = [[d_1]] + [[d_2]]$.



Figure 19: *Underlying curves c and $d_1 \cup d_2$ in a genus 2 surface (all weights are 1)*

We refer to a Seifert curve with one component as *type* 1 and a Seifert curve with two components as *type* 2. Since α is primitive, a Seifert curve of type 1 must have weight 1. It follows that distinct Seifert curves of type 1 must intersect. A Seifert curve of type 1 can be disjoint from a Seifert curve of type 2, see Figure 19 and distinct Seifert curves of type 2 can be disjoint, see Figure 20.

Let c be the underlying curve of a Seifert curve of type 1 and $d = d_1 \cup d_2$ the underlying curve of a Seifert curve of type 2 that are disjoint. Then the three disjoint



Figure 20: *Seifert curves* $(2, 1, d)$ *and* $(1, 1, e)$

simple closed curves $c \cup d$ cut S into pairs of pants. Any Seifert curve that is disjoint from $c \cup d$ must have underlying curve parallel to either c or d. Note that, since the weight of c is 1, the weights for d_1, d_2 must also be 1.

Consider the link of $[(1, c)]$ in $Kak(S, \alpha)$. It consists of equivalence classes of Seifert curves of type 2. The Seifert curves of type 2 have underlying curves that are pairs of curves lying in $S \backslash c$, aren't parallel to c, and are separating in $S \backslash c$ but not in S. There are infinitely many such pairs of curves. More specifically, $S \backslash c$ is a twice punctured torus, so the curves are parallel curves that separate the two punctures and can be parametrized by $\mathbf{Q}$. Distinct such curves can't be isotoped to be disjoint and hence correspond to distance two vertices of $Kak(S, \alpha)$. This confirms that $Kak(S, \alpha)$ has dimension $1 = (2)(2) - 3$ near $[(1, c)]$, as prescribed by Theorem 11.



Figure 21: *Seifert curves* $(1, 1, e)$ *and* $(1, c)$

For $d = d_1 \cup d_2$ as in Figure 19 or 20, we consider $S \backslash (d_1 \cup d_2)$, a sphere with four punctures. The link of $[(w_1, w_2, d_1 \cup d_2)]$ contains isotopy classes of Seifert curves of type 1. These are essential curves that are separating in $S \backslash (d_1 \cup d_2)$ but not in S and that partition the punctures of $S \backslash (d_1 \cup d_2)$ appropriately. There are infinitely many such curves. They too can be parametrized by $\mathbf{Q}$. Note that distinct Seifert curves of type 1 can't be isotoped to be disjoint and hence correspond to vertices of $Kak(S, \alpha)$ of distance two or more.

In addition, the link of $[(w_1, w_2, d_1 \cup d_2)]$ contains vertices $[(u_1, u_2, e_1 \cup e_2)]$ such that one component of $e_1 \cup e_2$, say e_1, is parallel to a component of $d_1 \cup d_2$, say d_1 and $S \backslash (d_1 \cup d_2 \cup e_1 \cup e_2)$ consists of two pairs of pants and one annulus. Seifert curves

of this type can also be parametrized by Q, since e_2 is a curve in a twice punctured torus that partitions the punctures appropriately and e_1 is parallel to d_1. Note that, since the weights of d_1, d_2 are w_1, w_2, we must have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} w_1 & = & u_1 \pm u_2 \\ w_2 & = & u_2 \end{array}$$

In summary, $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is a tree each of whose vertices has a countably infinite discrete (*i.e.*, 0-dimensional) link.

Recall that Johnson, Pelayo and Wilson showed that the Kakimizu complex of a knot in the 3-sphere is quasi-Euclidean. The Kakimizu complex of the genus 2 surface is a graph, thus hyperbolic. In particular, it is not quasi-Euclidean.

8 3-manifolds

The definitions given for Seifert curve, infinite cyclic cover, Kakimizu complex and so forth carry over to Seifert surfaces and Kakimizu complexes in the context of 3-manifolds. One need merely replace ones by twos and twos by threes. This ties into and generalizes some of the work in [10].

Let S be a compact oriented surface. Take $M = S \times I$. Then M is homotopy equivalent to S and hence has the same homology as S. Incompressible surfaces in a product manifold are either horizontal or vertical. Vertical surfaces have the form $c \times I$, where c is a multi-curve in S. It follows that $Kak(M, [[c \times I]]) = Kak(S, [[c]])$, where $[[\cdot]]$ denotes the homology class of $\cdot$.

Theorem 7. *There exist* 3*-manifolds with hyperbolic Kakimizu complex.*

Proof: Let S be the closed oriented surface of genus 2, α a primitive homology class in $H_1(S)$ and c a compact 1-manifold representating α. Then $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is the graph discussed in Section 7. In particular, $Kak(S, \alpha)$ is hyperbolic. Take $M = S \times I$. Then $Kak(M, [[c \times I]]) = Kak(S, \alpha)$ is also hyperbolic. □

References

[1] Banks, Jessica, *The Kakimizu complex of a connected sum of links*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (2013), 6017–6036.

[2] Bestvina, Mladen and Bux, Kai-Uwe and Margalit, Dan, *The dimension of the Torelli group*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23, no. 1 (2010) 61–105.

[3] Bridson, Martin R. and Haefliger, André, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Volume 319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, ISBN 3-540-64324-9.

[4] I. Irmer, *Geometry of the Homology Curve complex*, preprint, arxiv.org/pdf/1107.3547v2.pdf

[5] A. Hatcher, *The Cyclic Cycle Complex of a Surface*, unpublished, http://www.math.cornell.edu/∼hatcher/Papers/cycles.pdf

[6] A. Hatcher, D. Margalit, *Generating the Torelli Group*, Enseign. Math. (2) 58 (2012), no. 1-2, 165–188.

[7] O. Kakimizu, *Finding disjoint incompressible spanning surfaces for a link,* Hiroshima Math. J. 22 (1992) 225–236.

[8] U. Oertel, *On the existence of infinitely many essential surfaces of bounded genus,* Pacific J. Math. 202, no. 2 (2002) 449–458.

[9] U. Oertel, *Sums of incompressible surfaces,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988), no. 3, 711–719.

[10] P. Przytycki, J. Schultens, *Contractibility of the Kakimizu complex and symmetric Seifert surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364, no. 3 (2012) 1489–1508.

[11] J. Johnson, R. Pelayo, R. Wilson, *The coarse geometry of the Kakimizu complex*, preprint, arxiv.org/pdf/1204.0530.pdf

[12] M. Scharlemann, A. Thompson, *Finding disjoint Seifert surfaces*, Bull. LMS 20 (1988), no. 1, 61–64.

Department of Mathematics

1 Shields Avenue

University of California, Davis

Davis, CA 95616

USA